

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,247	05/09/2001	Michael T. Rossi	A7966	3007	
7	590 06/05/2002				
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER		
	Pennsylvania Avenue, NW ington, DC 20037-3213		PAK, SU	PAK, SUNG H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2874		
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1.1		
Office Action Commence	09/851,247	ROSSI ET AL.	la la		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sung H. Pak	2874			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 4S from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>L</i> Disposition of Claims			nerits is		
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.					
,—					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	WI TOTT CONSIDERATION.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.				
••					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		- Eveniner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		approved by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
	annici.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		440(=) (d) == (5)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (1).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	visional application has bee	en received.	. ,		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)		. <u></u>			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>. 	5) Notice of Inf	ımmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). formal Patent Application (PTO-1			

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Information Disclosure Statement

All the references submitted in the Information Disclosure Statement have been considered by the examiner.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long. According to the revised 37 CFR 1.72, the abstract is now limited to 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

Art Unit: 2874

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-10, 13-14, 29-37, 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Risch et al (US 5,911,023).

Risch et al discloses an optical fiber cable with all the limitations set forth in the claims, including: an outer layer; at least one optical fiber disposed inside outer layer ("14" Fig. 6); a gel-swellable material having density around 0.8-0.85 g/cc and water resistant gel positioned adjacent to each other and disposed between the outer layer and the optical fiber (Fig. 5, column 8 lines 24-29, tables 2 & 3 and column 10 lines 5-12); the gel-swellable material being polyolefin or copolymers of polyethylene (column 9 lines 17-18). It is noted that the gel-swellable portion may inherently have uneven thickness due to manufacturing variability. Therefore, such limitation is inherently disclosed by the reference.

Claims 1, 11-12, 29, 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Risch et al (US 6,085,009).

Risch et al discloses an optical fiber cable with all the limitations set forth in the claims, including: an outer layer; at least one optical fiber disposed inside outer layer (Fig. 1); a gel-swellable material and water resistant gel positioned adjacent to each other and disposed between the outer layer and the optical fiber (Fig. 1); wherein the gel-swellable material swells more than 10% at 85 degrees Celsius (Fig. 3), and wherein the gel is a polyolefin oil based gel (abstract).

Art Unit: 2874

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-24, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Risch et al (US 5,911,023).

Risch et al discloses an optical fiber cable with all the limitations set forth in the claims as discussed above, except it does not disclose the use of optical fiber ribbons. However, optical fiber ribbons are well known and commonly used in the art. Fiber ribbons provide a well-known advantage over the individual fibers, because they allow for plurality of optical fibers to be organized in a smaller given space. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Risch et al to use fiber ribbons instead of individual fibers. It would have been desirable to have dense fiber optic cables.

Claims 15, 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Risch et al (US 6,085,009).

Risch et al discloses an optical fiber cable with all the limitations set forth in the claims as discussed above, except it does not disclose the use of optical fiber ribbons. However, optical fiber ribbons are well known and commonly used in the art. Fiber

Art Unit: 2874

ribbons provide a well-known advantage over the individual fibers, because they allow

for plurality of optical fibers to be organized in a smaller given space. Therefore, it would

Page 5

have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to modify Risch et al to use fiber ribbons instead of individual fibers. It would have

been desirable to have dense fiber optic cables.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sung H. Pak whose telephone number is (703) 308-

4880. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday: 6:30am-

5:00pm.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for

After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

SD

May 22, 2002

Sung H. Pak Examiner

Art Unit 2874

Rodney Bovernick Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800